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1. Introduction – Scenario and Requirements

Smart Cities Challenges & Requirements

➢ Retrieve historical and real-time data from different sources (IoT and mobile devices, open data, city sensors, web-data, social data etc...)

➢ Ensure interoperability with various IoT brokers, protocols and devices

➢ Visualize and analyze data through different and customizable graphical and interactive tools (Smart City Dashboards and Widgets).
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2. Snap4City Dashboard Builder – Architecture

https://www.snap4city.org/

Smart City Cloud Infrastructure

- ETL Processes
- IoT/IoE Applications
- Elastic Management of Containers

Smart City API

- Data Processing Tools
  - ETL Processes, Data Analytic, R; IOT App; etc.

Final Users’ Tools

- Dashboards
- IOT / IOE Apps
- Mobile and Web Apps

Living Lab, Development and Management Tools

- IOT Directory
- ServiceMap
- Data Flow Analysis
- DevDash
- AMMA

Life Cycle Management

- Resource Manager
- DataGate/CKAN

Big Data Storage Knowledge

- Phoenix, Hbase + ElasticSearch indexing

Authentication, Authorization, GDPR, Security Assessment

Mobile and Web Apps

- Personal Data
- Proprietary Data
- GIS + Map Data
- IoT/IoE
- Industry 4.0
- Social Media

Open Data

- Open Data
  - Open Mobility
  - Open Government
  - Emergency Management
  - Citizen Culture

Data Flow Analysis

- Linked Open Graph

Resource Manager

- AMMA

IoT/IoE Applications

- Km4City Ontology

Living Lab, Development and Management Tools

- IOT Directory
- Linked Open Graph
- Data Flow Analysis
- DevDash
- AMMA

Data Gate/CKAN

- Resource Manager
- AMMA

DevDash

- DataFlow Analysis
- DevDash
- AMMA

IoT Directory

- IOT Directory
- Linked Open Graph
- Data Flow Analysis
- DevDash
- AMMA

Resource Manager

- AMMA
- Resource Manager
- Resource Manager

Authentication, Authorization, GDPR, Security Assessment

- Authentication
- Authorization
- GDPR
- Security Assessment
2. Snap4City Dashboard Builder – Data, Flows and Protocols

- **Open Data:**
  - Data gate, Open Data Portals
  - ETL processes (PULL)
  - IOT Application processes

- **IOT Networks:**
  - Multiple IOT Brokers (IOT Orion Broker, RabbitMQ, ActiveMQ ...)
  - IOT Application processes, data driven or PULL
  - IOT Brokers (Push) → IOT Shadow

- **Web Pages:**
  - Web scraping, crawling processes

- **Social media:** Twitter, Facebook, ...
  - Twitter Vigilance, IOT App

- **Mobile Apps**
  - Smart City API

- **Files upload:** CSV, Excel, etc.
  - IOT Applications, ETL

- **REST API, WS, FTP, LD, LOD, etc.**
  - IOT Applications, ETL

- **Data base accesses**
  - GIS: WFS, WMS
  - ETL, IOT Application
2. Snap4City Dashboard Builder – Dashboard Creation

- IOT Applications
- Knowledge Base, Kmd4City
- Knowledge and Storage Data from the Field and City + MyKPI ++

Widget Collection
- Micro Applications
- External Services
- Custom Widgets/Synoptics

Dashboard Wizard
- Create, save, load, delegate, grant access

Dashboard Editor

Public Dashboard Collection

My Own Dash/App
2. Snap4City Dashboard Builder – Dashboard Overview
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2. Smart City Dashboard Assessment and Evaluation

➢ **Aim of the Dashboard Builder evaluation**: assess how easy it is to use the Dashboard Wizard for dashboard creation, matching the user intention selecting the different widget icons with respect to the results obtained in the dashboard creation.

➢ **Assessment modality**: users attending a general training on the platform (with a focus in the creation of Dashboards) were asked to create some dashboards and report the performed steps/actions in a written form.

➢ **Evaluation**: A vote has been assigned, depending on the percentage of matched widgets proposed with respect to one of the possible solutions (100% means that all the necessary widgets have been used).
2. Smart City Dashboard Assessment and Evaluation – Florence

Proposed Exercises:

- **Ex1**: Create a Dashboard for the visualization of Sensors values: actual (real-time data) and their temporal trend (historical data). The sensors to be selected should be located in Florence around a point of your interest (home, work, study), report data regarding: environment, traffic, parking, pollution, etc. 20 minutes of time.

- **Ex2**: Create a dashboard for the visualization of geolocated services (POI, Sensors, heat map,.. ), with additional widget to see the time trend. 20 minutes of time.

- **Ex3**: Create a dashboard for the visualization of MyKPI (users’ personal Key Performance Indicators) regarding trajectories registered on users’ personal devices. We suggest to open wizard and search for trajectories of your mobile. 15 minutes of time.
Ex. 1) Create a Dashboard for the visualization of sensors values: actual and their trend

- The sensors to be selected should
  - Be located downtown in Florence around a POINT of YOUR interest: home, work, study, etc.
  - Report data regarding: environment, traffic, parking, pollution, etc.

- We suggest to:
  - Understand how to work with data by using the Data Inspector
  - Create a new Dashboard by using the Wizard
  - Customize look and fill of the Dashboard and widgets

- Time: 20 minutes
2. Smart City Dashboard Assessment and Evaluation – Florence

**Evaluation Results (summary):**

- Out of the 30 participants in the dashboard building training, 22 responded to our questionnaires.
- 90% of the users completed in time the development of the Dashboards that satisfy the requirements.
- The reported speedup achieved by using Snap4City, with respect to any other platform, is 7 times on building dashboards: only 9 people reported the specific tool they used to build dashboards: 44% use Pentaho (ETL tool), which it is not an actual Dashboard Builder, and 33% use Microsoft Power BI. A variety of other tools were reported, including Arcgis, OnlineClarity, Geoserver, Grafana, Hortonworks, Mapserver, Prometheus, Qlick, Redssh, Superset, Talend Data Integration, Traffic Supervisor.
- 56.70% were very satisfied and 37.11% were somewhat satisfied with the training day.
- 90% were happy with the dashboards, among them: 49.38% were very satisfied; 93% stated that the dashboard builder would be useful for their work; 51.72% thought it would be very useful in their daily work.
- 72.63% were more than somewhat satisfied with the easiness for the dashboard production, 96.51% were more than somewhat satisfied with the completeness of the dashboard, and more than the 40% were very satisfied.
- Some users reported, as a desired improvement, a clearer graphics for widget icons in the Dashboard Wizard, which currently can sometimes result confusing, due to the high number of widgets, some of them having similar functionalities.
2. **Smart City Dashboard Assessment and Evaluation – Helsinki and Antwerp**

- We have conducted a usage assessment of Snap4City dashboards by city officials and ICT officials from Antwerp (August 21st, 2019) and Helsinki (June 11th, 2019).

- In Helsinki, we had 8 participants from Select4Cities consortium and 9 participants from the City of Helsinki, mainly City Officials. In Antwerp, we had 15 participants.

- A total of more than 4.6 million of minutes have been spent on Dashboards with a total of more than 41,000 accesses to Dashboards.
# 2. Smart City Dashboard Assessment and Evaluation – Helsinki and Antwerp

## Total Dashboards Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dashboard name</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>#Accesses</th>
<th>#Minutes</th>
<th>#Days</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp City Overview (A5a)</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>11.988</td>
<td>855.665</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Life of Antwerp (A5b)</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>4.238</td>
<td>416.517</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp vs Helsinki Comparison (A6)</td>
<td>1756</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>42.642</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp vs Florence Comparison (A6)</td>
<td>1757</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>19.892</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki City Overview (H5a)</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>14.629</td>
<td>2.057.898</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Life of Helsinki (H5b)</td>
<td>1752</td>
<td>6.124</td>
<td>640.136</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfuser vs RealTime Comparison (H4) daily</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>117.159</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfuser vs RealTime Comparison (H4) weekly</td>
<td>1735</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>35.395</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki vs Antwerp Comparison (H6)</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>1.349</td>
<td>331.698</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki vs Florence Comparison (H6)</td>
<td>1741</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>114.332</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>41.334</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.631.334</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Antwerp</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>16.929</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.334.716</strong></td>
<td><strong>198</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Helsinki</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>24.405</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.296.618</strong></td>
<td><strong>316</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2. Smart City Dashboard Assessment and Evaluation – Helsinki and Antwerp

### Average Dashboards Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dashboard name</th>
<th>AVG Min/day</th>
<th>AVG Acc/Day</th>
<th>AVG Min/Acc</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp City Overview (A5a)</td>
<td>9507,4</td>
<td>133,2</td>
<td>71,4</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Life of Antwerp (A5b)</td>
<td>6828,1</td>
<td>69,5</td>
<td>98,3</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp vs Helsinki Comparison (A6)</td>
<td>1470,4</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp vs Florence Comparison (A6)</td>
<td>1105,1</td>
<td>14,2</td>
<td>78,0</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki City Overview (H5a)</td>
<td>22368,5</td>
<td>159,0</td>
<td>140,7</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Life of Helsinki (H5b)</td>
<td>9699,0</td>
<td>92,8</td>
<td>104,5</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfuser vs RealTime Comparison (H4) daily</td>
<td>2724,6</td>
<td>20,8</td>
<td>130,9</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfuser vs RealTime Comparison (H4) weekly</td>
<td>1141,8</td>
<td>13,1</td>
<td>87,0</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki vs Antwerp Comparison (H6)</td>
<td>7897,6</td>
<td>32,1</td>
<td>245,9</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki vs Florence Comparison (H6)</td>
<td>2722,2</td>
<td>23,8</td>
<td>114,2</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Antwerp</strong></td>
<td>18911</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Helsinki</strong></td>
<td>46554</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>823</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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